

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/516,854 | 12/02/2004 | Hidenori Kanno | 62436(47298) 7195 | | |
| 21874 75 | 90 08/29/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP | | | KRAMER, DEVON C | | |
| P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3683 | | |
| | | | DATE MAIL ED: 08/20/2006 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|-------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/516,854 | | KANNO ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | Devon C. Kramer | | 3683 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| | | | ı | | | | | |
| 3) | · _ | | | | | | | |
| ٥,۵ | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | on of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-8 13</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>9-12</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8)[| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Attachment | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notice | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | P: | aper No(s)/Mail Date | · | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Inform | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date | 5) 🔲 N | lotice of Informal Pate other: | | -152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/516,854

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2) Claims 1, 3-8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Arakawa et al (DE 3722114).

In re claim 1, Arakawa et al provides a rotary damper comprising: first and second chambers (7) which are separated by a partition wall (area between housing portions 6); a rotor (5) which is rotatably arranged within the first chamber; a viscous material which is filled in a slight gap between the rotor and a slidable contact surface slidably contacted by the rotor; a viscous fluid filling the second chamber; and a vane (12) which is swingably arranged within the second chamber filled with the viscous fluid; wherein the rotor and the vane are independently attached, and the vane is swingable without rotating the rotor. Please note that figure 2 depicts a single damper and the examiner is use a vane from the right side and a rotor from the left side.

In re claim 3, see element 13.

In re claims 4-8, see figure 2.

IN re claim 13, the damper of Arakawa is capable of being used on a console box.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,854 Page 3

Art Unit: 3683

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4) Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arakawa et al (DE 3722114) in view of Kato et al (6264264).

Arakawa et al lacks the teaching of the valve.

Kato et al provides a valve (120) acting as claimed.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the vane of Arakawa et al with a vane as taught by Kato et al merely to provide a good control of the fluid in the damper and to vary the resistance.

Allowable Subject Matter

5) Claims 9-12 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

6) Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/516,854

Art Unit: 3683

Conclusion

Page 4

7) THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devon C. Kramer whose telephone number is 571-272-7118. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James McClellan can be reached on (571)272-6786. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3683

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Devon C Kramer Primary Examiner Art Unit 3683

Deron Mars 106

DK